

Group Completing Monoidal Categories

JAMES E. KETTNER

*Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, Illinois 60115**Communicated by Saunders MacLane*

Received July 22, 1974

A monoidal category is a category \mathcal{M} together with a coherently associative bifunctor $\square: \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ which has a two-sided identity object $*$. \mathcal{M} is a strict monoidal category if \square is strictly associative; any monoidal category is naturally equivalent to a strict monoidal category [4]. Henceforth we assume all monoidal categories to be strict. A monoidal category \mathcal{M} is symmetric if there is a natural isomorphism of functors $c: \square \rightarrow \square T$ that makes \square coherently commutative (where T is the functor that interchanges factors in $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M}$). A symmetric strict monoidal category is said to be permutative. Our prime example is the category of finitely generated projective left modules over a ring R under direct sum, which we denote \mathcal{P}_R .

The Grothendieck group of a small permutative category \mathcal{M} , $K_0\mathcal{M}$, is the group completion of the monoid of isomorphism classes of objects of \mathcal{M} under \square ; $K_0\mathcal{P}_R$ is by definition K_0R in the algebraic K -theory of the ring R . Let $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ be the set of pairs (A, f) where A is an object of \mathcal{M} and f is an automorphism of A . The Whitehead group of \mathcal{M} is a group $K_1\mathcal{M}$ supplied with a map from the set $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ to $K_1\mathcal{M}$ that is universal for maps γ from $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ to an Abelian group that satisfy:

- (i) If $j: A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism and $jf = gj$, then $\gamma(A, f) = \gamma(B, g)$;
- (ii) $\gamma(A \square B, f \square g) = \gamma(A, f) + \gamma(B, g)$;
- (iii) $\gamma(A, fh) = \gamma(A, f) + \gamma(A, h)$;

where (A, f) , (B, g) , and (A, h) are in $\Omega\mathcal{M}$. $K_1\mathcal{P}_R$ is K_1R in the algebraic K -theory of R . For a more complete discussion of these definitions, see [2] and [5].

Given a small monoidal category \mathcal{M} we will construct a monoidal category $K\mathcal{M}$ whose objects form a group under \square that is isomorphic to the group completion of the monoid of objects of \mathcal{M} under \square . We call $K\mathcal{M}$ the group completion of \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M} is permutative, the set of isomorphism classes of objects of $K\mathcal{M}$ will form a group isomorphic to $K_0\mathcal{M}$; if \mathcal{M} is also skeletal,

the automorphism group of any object of $K_1\mathcal{M}$ will be shown to be isomorphic to $K_1\mathcal{M}$. These facts show the inevitability of the appearance of the functor K_1 , and they clarify the roles of composition and \square in the definition of K_1 . They also indicate the difficulty of using algebraic or categorical techniques to investigate higher K -groups.

In any small monoidal category \mathcal{G} , the set of morphisms of \mathcal{G} , $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$, forms a monoid under \square . If the objects of \mathcal{G} , $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$, form a group under \square , $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$ acts on $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$ by conjugation. Let A denote both an object and its identity map, and let \bar{A} denote the inverse object of A ; then, if $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$, $A(f) = A \square f \square \bar{A}$. $\text{Star } *$ is the set of all morphisms of \mathcal{G} with domain $*$. It is a submonoid of $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$ and is closed under the action of $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let \mathcal{G} be a small monoidal category with $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$ a group under \square . Then*

- (i) \square and composition agree in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(*, *)$ and are commutative;
- (ii) $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(A, A)$ and $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(*, *)$ are isomorphic as monoids under composition;
- (iii) $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product of $\text{Star } *$ and $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$ as a monoid under \square ; and
- (iv) a morphism of \mathcal{G} is \square -invertible if and only if it is an isomorphism.

Proof. Part (i) is immediate since \square and composition have common identities and distribute over each other in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(*, *)$ [6, p. 43]. The assignment to f in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(A, A)$ of $f \square \bar{A}$ in $\text{hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(*, *)$ defines the isomorphism of part (ii). The semidirect product of $\text{Star } *$ and $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G}$ is the cartesian product with multiplication given by $(f, A)(g, B) = (f \square A(g), A \square B) = (f \square A \square g \square \bar{A}, A \square B)$. The assignment to $f: A \rightarrow B$ of $(f \square \bar{A}, A)$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism between $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G}$ and the semidirect product. If $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism, then $\bar{A} \square f^{-1} \square \bar{B}$ is the \square -inverse for f :

$$\begin{aligned} (\bar{A} \square f^{-1} \square \bar{B}) \square f &= ((\bar{A} \square f^{-1} \square \bar{B}) \square B) (\bar{A} \square f) \\ &= (\bar{A} \square f^{-1}) (\bar{A} \square f) \\ &= \bar{A} \square A \\ &= *. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely if $g: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ is a \square -inverse for f , then $f^{-1} = A \square g \square B$ since

$$\begin{aligned} (A \square g \square B) f &= (A \square g \square B) (A \square \bar{A} \square f) \\ &= A \square (g \square B) (\bar{A} \square f) \\ &= A \square g \square f \\ &= A \square * \\ &= A. \end{aligned}$$

Note that two naturally isomorphic functors from a skeletal groupoid to \mathcal{G} which agree on objects must be equal by parts (i) and (ii) of the proposition. In particular if \mathcal{G} is a skeletal permutative groupoid, \square is strictly commutative.

Given a small monoidal category \mathcal{M} and a surjective map of monoids $\sigma: \text{Ob } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow N$ we now construct a monoidal category $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ and a monoidal functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ which is universal for monoidal functors whose object maps have σ as a right factor. Form the category $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ [3] by letting the objects of $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ be the elements of N and the maps from α to β in $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ be sequences (nonempty if $\alpha \neq \beta$) $x_n \cdots x_1$ of morphisms of \mathcal{M} such that:

- (i) $\sigma(\text{domain } x_1) = \alpha, \sigma(\text{domain } x_{i+1}) = \sigma(\text{range } x_i)$, and $\sigma(\text{range } x_n) = \beta$;
- (ii) the x_i are nonidentity morphisms of \mathcal{M} ; and
- (iii) the composition of x_{i+1} and x_i is not defined in \mathcal{M} .

If $x_n \cdots x_1: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $y_m \cdots y_1: \beta \rightarrow \gamma$ in $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$, their composition is the unique sequence satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii) obtained from $y_m \cdots y_1 x_n \cdots x_1$ by performing all possible compositions in \mathcal{M} and deleting identities. The empty sequence from α to α serves as the identity in $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$. There is an obvious functor $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ which is universal for functors whose object maps have σ as a right factor. If p and q are morphisms of $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$, define $p \sim q$ if $p = w(x \square y)z$ and $q = w(x \square D)(A \square y)z$ or $q = w(B \square y)(x \square C)z$ where w, z are morphisms of $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$; x, y are morphisms of \mathcal{M} ; and A, B, C, D are objects of \mathcal{M} such that $\sigma(\text{domain } x) = \sigma(A)$, $\sigma(\text{range } x) = \sigma(B)$, $\sigma(\text{domain } y) = \sigma(C)$, and $\sigma(\text{range } y) = \sigma(D)$. \sim generates an equivalence relation in $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ that preserves composition so the equivalence classes of morphisms form a category $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ with the same objects as $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$. A morphism f of $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ is invertible if and only if every morphism of \mathcal{M} in any sequence of $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ which represents f is invertible.

THEOREM 2. $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ is a monoidal category.

Proof. Given an object A of \mathcal{M} , we have a functor $\square A: U_\sigma \mathcal{M} \rightarrow U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ which on objects is right multiplication by $\sigma(A)$ and on morphisms sends $x_n \cdots x_1$ to $(x_n \square A) \cdots (x_1 \square A)$. Since $\square A$ preserves the equivalence relation \sim , it induces a functor $\square A: V_\sigma \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$. If B is another object of \mathcal{M} with $\sigma(B) = \sigma(A)$, $\square B$ equals $\square A$ on $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ because if $f: C \rightarrow D$ in \mathcal{M} , $f \square A \sim (f \square B)(C \square B) = f \square B$ in $U_\sigma \mathcal{M}$. Thus if α is an object of $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ we obtain a functor $\square \alpha: V_\sigma \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ induced by $\square A$ where A is any object in \mathcal{M} with $\sigma(A) = \alpha$. We can define $\alpha \square: V_\sigma \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$ similarly. We now use these functors to define an associative bifunctor $\square: V_\sigma \mathcal{M} \times V_\sigma \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$. If $x_1: \alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2$ and $y_1: \beta_1 \rightarrow \beta_2$ in $V_\sigma \mathcal{M}$, define $x_1 \square y_1: \alpha_1 \square \beta_1 \rightarrow \alpha_2 \square \beta_2$ to be $(x_1 \square \beta_2)(\alpha_1 \square y_1)$. To show \square is a functor,

suppose $x_2: \alpha_2 \rightarrow \alpha_3$ and $y_2: \beta_2 \rightarrow \beta_3$ in $V_o\mathcal{M}$; then

$$(x_2 \square y_2)(x_1 \square y_1) = (x_2 \square \beta_3)(\alpha_2 \square y_2)(x_1 \square \beta_2)(\alpha_1 \square y_1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_2x_1 \square y_2y_1 &= (x_2x_1 \square \beta_3)(\alpha_1 \square y_2y_1) \\ &= (x_2 \square \beta_3)(x_1 \square \beta_3)(\alpha_1 \square y_2)(\alpha_1 \square y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we must show $(\alpha_2 \square y_2)(x_1 \square \beta_2) = (x_1 \square \beta_3)(\alpha_1 \square y_2)$, but this relation is clearly true for x_1 and y_2 , actually morphisms of \mathcal{M} , so it holds in $V_o\mathcal{M}$. Associativity follows from the associativity of \square on \mathcal{M} , and the identity element $*$ of N is a two-sided identity for \square .

The natural functor $\hat{\sigma}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_o\mathcal{M}$ is necessarily monoidal. To show $\hat{\sigma}$ has the required universal property, let $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a monoidal functor whose object map has σ as a right factor; then there is a unique functor $F_1: U_o\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ which factors F and thus preserves the equivalence relation \sim . Hence F_1 induces a unique monoidal functor $F_2: V_o\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $F_2\hat{\sigma} = F$.

If \mathcal{M} is permutative, we extend the equivalence relation on morphisms of $U_o\mathcal{M}$ by $p \sim q$ if $p = x(R \square c(A, B) \square S)y$ and $q = x(R \square c(A', B') \square S)y$ where x, y are morphisms in $U_o\mathcal{M}$ and A, B, A', B', R , and S are objects of \mathcal{M} such that $\sigma(A) = \sigma(A')$ and $\sigma(B) = \sigma(B')$. Then $V_o\mathcal{M}$ will be permutative and $\hat{\sigma}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow V_o\mathcal{M}$ will be universal for permutative functors whose object maps have σ as a right factor.

COROLLARY 3. *The category of small monoidal (or permutative) categories is complete and cocomplete.*

Proof. Products and coproducts exist by [1]. Equalizers are trivial so we need only show the existence of coequalizers. Let $F, G: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ and let P be the coequalizer of the vertex maps in the category of small monoids. Since the natural map $\sigma: \text{Ob } \mathcal{N} \rightarrow P$ is surjective, $V_o\mathcal{N}$ is a monoidal category. If p and q are morphisms of $V_o\mathcal{N}$, define $p \sim q$ if $p = x(\alpha \square F(u) \square \beta)y$ and $q = x(\alpha \square G(u) \square \beta)y$ where x and y are morphisms of $V_o\mathcal{N}$, α and β are objects of $V_o\mathcal{N}$, and u is a morphism of \mathcal{M} . \sim generates an equivalence relation preserving composition and \square . The category of equivalence classes \mathcal{G} is monoidal and thus the desired coequalizer.

The (non-Abelian) group completion of a monoid M is usually constructed by taking the free group on M modulo the normal subgroup generated by elements of the form $(a \cdot b)b^{-1}a^{-1}$, a and b in M (where we denote multiplication in M by \cdot for clarity). We give another construction. Let \bar{M} be M with the opposite multiplication; if a is in M , \bar{a} denotes the corresponding element of \bar{M} . Define the group completion $K(M)$ to be the free product of monoids

$M \times \bar{M}$ modulo the equivalence relation generated by demanding $a\bar{a} \sim 1 \sim \bar{a}a$. We can imitate this construction for a monoidal category \mathcal{M} . Let $\bar{\mathcal{M}}$ be \mathcal{M} with the opposite product. The objects of the coproduct $\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ are exactly the elements of the free product of monoids $\text{Ob } \mathcal{M} * \text{Ob } \bar{\mathcal{M}}$ so there is a surjection of monoids $\sigma: \text{Ob}(\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow K(\text{Ob } \mathcal{M})$. Define the group completion of \mathcal{M} , $K\mathcal{M}$, to be $V_o(\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}})$. The objects of $K\mathcal{M}$ are exactly the elements of the group $K(\text{Ob } \mathcal{M})$, and there is a natural monoidal functor $H: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow K\mathcal{M}$. H has the universal property that if $F: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a monoidal functor into a monoidal category \mathcal{G} whose objects form a group then there is a unique monoidal functor $F: K\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ such that $F = FH$. The construction remains the same if \mathcal{M} is permutative.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let F and G be monoidal functors from a monoidal category \mathcal{M} to a monoidal groupoid \mathcal{N} . If $\eta: F \rightarrow G$ is an additive natural transformation of functors ($\eta(A \square B) = \eta A \square \eta B$) then there exists an additive natural transformation $K\eta: KF \rightarrow KG$.*

Proof. Additive natural transformations between functors from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{N} are in one-to-one correspondence with monoidal functors from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{F}(\Delta[1], \mathcal{N})$ where \mathcal{F} is the functor category and $\Delta[1]$ is the category with two objects 0 and 1 and one nonidentity morphism from 0 to 1. Thus η induces a monoidal functor from \mathcal{M} to $\mathcal{F}(\Delta[1], K\mathcal{N})$. Since \mathcal{N} is a groupoid, $K\mathcal{N}$ is a groupoid; therefore, every morphism of $K\mathcal{N}$ has a \square -inverse by Proposition 1. Then the objects of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta[1], K\mathcal{N})$ form a group under \square so we obtain a monoidal functor from $K\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{F}(\Delta[1], K\mathcal{N})$ which yields the desired additive natural transformation.

If \mathcal{M} is a monoidal category, let $\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}$ denote the subcategory of \mathcal{M} consisting of the same objects and the isomorphisms of \mathcal{M} .

THEOREM 5. *There is a natural isomorphism $K(\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Iso } K\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. $K(\text{Iso } \mathcal{M})$ is a groupoid so $K(\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Iso } K\mathcal{M}$. A morphism f in $K\mathcal{M}$ is invertible if and only if all representatives of f in $U_o(\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}})$ are invertible; a morphism $x_n \cdots x_1$ of $U_o(\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}})$ is invertible if and only if each x_i is in $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{M} * \bar{\mathcal{M}}) \approx (\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}) * (\text{Iso } \bar{\mathcal{M}})$. Hence, f is in the image of $K(\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \text{Iso } K\mathcal{M}$.

THEOREM 6. *If \mathcal{M} is a skeletal permutative category, then the group of isomorphism classes of objects of $K\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $K_0\mathcal{M}$ and the group of automorphisms of any object of $K\mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to $K_1\mathcal{M}$.*

Proof. The first part is clear from Theorem 5 and the definitions. To prove the second part, we need only show $\text{Aut}_{K\mathcal{M}}(*, *)$ isomorphic to $K_1\mathcal{M}$

by Proposition 1. Let $K_1\mathcal{M}$ be the monoidal category with one object and one morphism for each element of $K_1\mathcal{M}$; composition and \square in $K_1\mathcal{M}$ are given by the group operation in $K_1\mathcal{M}$. There is a monoidal functor from $\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}$ to $K_1\mathcal{M}$ which sends $f: A \rightarrow A$ to the equivalence class of (A, f) . Since the objects of $K_1\mathcal{M}$ form the trivial group, we obtain a monoidal functor from $K(\text{Iso } \mathcal{M}) = \text{Iso } K\mathcal{M}$ to $K_1\mathcal{M}$ which gives us a function from $\text{Aut}_{K\mathcal{M}}(*, *)$ to $K_1\mathcal{M}$. On the other hand, $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ maps to $\text{Aut}_{K\mathcal{M}}(*, *)$ by sending the pair (A, f) to $f \square \bar{A}$. If (B, g) is in $\Omega\mathcal{M}$ and $j: A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism in \mathcal{M} such that $jf = gj$, then

$$f \square \bar{A} = (j \square \bar{j}) (f \square \bar{A}) = jf \square \bar{j} = gj \square \bar{j} = (g \square \bar{B}) (j \square \bar{j}) = g \square \bar{B}.$$

Also

$$f \square \bar{A} \square g \square \bar{B} = f \square c(*, \bar{A}) ((g \square \bar{B}) \square \bar{A}) = f \square g \square \bar{B} \square \bar{A}$$

since \mathcal{M} is permutative. If (A, f) and (A, h) are in $\Omega\mathcal{M}$,

$$fh \square \bar{A} = (f \square \bar{A}) (h \square \bar{A}) = f \square \bar{A} \square h \square \bar{A}$$

by Proposition 1. Hence, by the universal property of $K_1\mathcal{M}$ we obtain a homomorphism $K_1\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{K\mathcal{M}}(*, *)$. The two maps thus obtained must necessarily be inverses.

COROLLARY 7. *If \mathcal{M} is a skeletal permutative groupoid and \mathcal{G} is any skeleton of $K\mathcal{M}$, then $\text{Ob } \mathcal{G} = K_0\mathcal{M}$ and $\text{Mor } \mathcal{G} = K_0\mathcal{M} \oplus K_1\mathcal{M}$.*

REFERENCES

1. D. W. ANDERSON, *Simplicial K-theory and generalized homology theories I, II*, preprint.
2. H. BASS, "Algebraic K-Theory," Benjamin, New York, 1968.
3. P. J. HIGGINS, *Categories and Groupoids*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1971.
4. J. R. ISBELL, On coherent algebras and strict algebras, *J. Algebra* **13** (1969), 299–307.
5. S. MACLANE, "Categories for the Working Mathematician," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
6. E. H. SPANIER, "Algebraic Topology," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.